IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

* * * * *

C.W.P NO. 14860 OF 2006

Date of decision : September 15, 2006

* * * * *

Pankaj .....Petitioner

Vs.

HUDA & another .....Respondents

* * * * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S BHALLA

Present: Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

* * * * *

Viney Mittal, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On the asking of the Court, Sh. Ashok Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Copy of the writ petition be supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner claims himself to be an oustee and as such claims that he is entitled to the allotment of a plot under the oustees quota. It has also been averred by the counsel for the petitioner that an application dated March 16, 1998 has been filed by the petitioner for allotment of a plot under the oustees quota but no action whatsoever has been taken on it so far. The petitioner, thereafter filed a representation Annexure P-14, which remains unresponded so far.

In this view of the matter, without going into the merits of the claim made by the petitioner, we dispose of the present petition with a direction to the Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula to decide the aforesaid representation within a period of 3 months from the date a certified copy of this order is received.

Copy of the order be given dasti on payment of the usual charges.

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2006
ritu

(H.S BHALLA)
JUDGE